

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

## **FY 2000 Core Performance Measures for Waste Management, Underground Storage Tank, and Remediation Programs<sup>1</sup>**

Measures to be further defined/clarified by ASTSWMO during Spring/early Summer of 1999.

Measures shown below represent one category of measures--recommended mandatory core performance measures developed by the ECOS-EPA Design Team. The Design Team also proposed two other categories of measures--negotiable measures and state initiative measures--that are not presented here because they would be voluntary. Please contact Ron Hammerschmidt (tel. 785/296-1535) or Roger Kanerva (tel. 217/785-5735) to obtain a copy of the complete package.

1. Percent of hazardous waste managed at Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities (TSDFs) with approved controls in place.  
Proportion of hazardous waste (HW) being managed at regulated facilities confirmed to meet applicable requirements. (Universe covered, inspection cycles, and confirmation criteria specified by authorized state programs.)  
Covers HW streams as reported by state into the Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System (RCRIS) and Biennial Reporting System (BRS).  
Includes facilities with operating permits, post-closure permits or operating under a State or Federal order. Includes boilers and industrial furnaces that burn hazardous waste.
2. Percent of Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) meeting requirements.  
Requirements for leak detection and upgrade requirements in each state.  
Numbers of Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) reported.
3. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Corrective Action sites (area) cleaned up.  
Area (e.g., acres), as determined by State, for high priority sites that need no further action beyond operation/maintenance.
4. National Priority List (NPL) sites (area) cleaned up.  
Area (e.g., acres), as determined by State, for sites that need no further action beyond operation/maintenance.
5. Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST)/UST cleanup site status.  
Status covers number of confirmed releases, number of cleanups initiated, and number of cleanups completed, as reported by each state.

---

<sup>1</sup> As stated in the 1997 *Joint Statement on Measuring Progress under NEPPS*, "Beyond core performance measures, there are other program output and fiscal reporting requirements we must use to document our various program activities." States are expected to continue reporting this routine program and fiscal tracking information. At the same time, States and EPA Regions are encouraged to work together to review the value and cost of these data exchanges and eliminate low-priority reporting.

6. Groundwater releases controlled.

*At RCRA Corrective Action sites designated as high priority for RCRIS reporting as of 12/98.*

*At NPL sites as documented by each state or EPA.*

7. Human exposures controlled.

*At RCRA Corrective Action sites designated as high priority for RCRIS reporting as of 12/98.*

*At NPL sites as documented by each state or EPA.*